



Synergizing Quantum Computing and Machine Learning: A Pathway Toward Quantum-Enhanced Intelligence

Gustina Alfa Trisnapradika¹, Muhamad Akrom^{2*}

^{1,2} Research Center for Quantum Computing and Materials Informatics, Faculty of Computer Science, Universitas Dian Nuswantoro, Semarang 50131, Indonesia

Article Info

Received : May 30, 2025

Revised : June 08, 2025

Accepted : June 12, 2025

Keywords:

Quantum Artificial Intelligence

Quantum Machine Learning

Quantum Computing

Hybrid AI System

ABSTRACT

The convergence of quantum computing and artificial intelligence has introduced a new paradigm in computational science known as Quantum Artificial Intelligence (QAI). By leveraging quantum mechanical principles such as superposition, entanglement, and quantum parallelism, QAI aims to overcome the limitations of classical machine learning, particularly in handling high-dimensional data, complex optimization, and scalability issues. This paper presents a comprehensive review of foundational concepts in both classical machine learning and quantum computing, followed by an in-depth discussion of emerging quantum algorithms tailored for AI applications, such as quantum neural networks, quantum support vector machines, and variational quantum classifiers. We explore the practical implications of these approaches across key sectors, including healthcare, finance, cybersecurity, and logistics. Furthermore, we identify critical challenges related to hardware limitations, algorithmic stability, data encoding, and ethical considerations. Finally, we outline research directions necessary to advance the field, highlighting the transformative potential of QAI in shaping the next generation of intelligent technologies.

***Corresponding Author:**

email: m.akrom@dsn.dinus.ac.id



This publication is licensed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. INTRODUCTION

The ever-increasing demand for intelligent systems capable of processing massive volumes of data has driven remarkable progress in artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML). These technologies are now central to applications ranging from autonomous vehicles and virtual assistants to medical diagnostics and financial forecasting [1], [2]. However, the current trajectory of ML development is being impeded by limitations in computational scalability and algorithmic efficiency, challenges that become more pronounced as data complexity and dimensionality increase [3].

Quantum computing offers a potential paradigm shift in this landscape by leveraging the foundational principles of quantum mechanics. Unlike classical bits that represent information in binary states (0 or 1), quantum bits, or qubits, can exist in superpositions of states, enabling parallel computation. Moreover, quantum entanglement allows for non-local correlations between qubits, facilitating new forms of data representation and transformation [4]–[7].

The integration of quantum computing with AI, referred to as Quantum Artificial Intelligence (QAI), is an emerging interdisciplinary domain that aims to address the bottlenecks of classical approaches. QAI seeks to leverage quantum advantages to enhance learning algorithms in terms of speed, accuracy, and the ability to handle complex problem spaces [8], [9]. For example, quantum algorithms can dramatically accelerate optimization routines, enable more expressive neural network architectures, and improve generalization in high-dimensional feature spaces [10].

This paper aims to provide a comprehensive overview of how quantum computing is reshaping the future of AI. We explore key quantum concepts relevant to computation, introduce quantum algorithms tailored for machine learning tasks, and examine the challenges and prospects of realizing practical quantum artificial intelligence systems. As quantum technologies evolve, their convergence with AI holds the potential to redefine the boundaries of what is computationally feasible.

2. CLASSICAL ML IN PERSPECTIVE

ML has been at the core of technological advances in recent decades, enabling systems to learn from data and improve performance over time without requiring explicit programming. The field encompasses a wide array of algorithms designed to identify patterns, make predictions, and support decision-making based on empirical data [11]. ML's foundational role in artificial intelligence has led to widespread adoption in areas such as image recognition, speech processing, natural language understanding, financial modeling, and medical diagnostics [12]–[15].

Classical ML is commonly divided into three main paradigms: (1) Supervised Learning: This approach relies on labeled datasets, where input-output pairs guide the model during training. Popular algorithms include Support Vector Machines (SVM), Decision Trees, and Neural Networks. These methods are particularly effective in classification and regression tasks; (2) Unsupervised Learning: Here, models work with unlabeled data to uncover hidden structures or relationships. Algorithms such as K-Means, Principal Component Analysis (PCA), and Gaussian Mixture Models are used for clustering and dimensionality reduction; (3) Reinforcement Learning: In this trial-and-error-based approach, agents learn optimal actions by interacting with environments and receiving feedback in the form of rewards. It has been successfully applied in robotics, game-playing, and autonomous systems [16] – [20].

A typical ML workflow involves several key elements: (1) Data Preprocessing: Real-world data often requires cleaning, normalization, and transformation before it becomes usable for training; (2) Feature Engineering: Effective feature selection or extraction can significantly influence model performance by highlighting relevant patterns; (3) Model Training and Evaluation: Using training data, algorithms adjust internal parameters to minimize loss functions. Evaluation metrics such as accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score are used to assess model performance; (4) Hyperparameter Tuning: Adjusting algorithm settings, like learning rates or depth of trees, can improve generalization to unseen data [21], [22].

Despite its success, classical ML faces significant limitations: (1) Scalability Issues: As data grows in volume and dimensionality, traditional algorithms often become computationally expensive, requiring significant time and memory resources; (2) Complex Optimization: Many ML models, profound neural networks, rely on gradient-based optimization techniques that may get stuck in local minima or saddle points; (3) Interpretability Challenges: Complex models like ensemble methods or deep networks often function as black boxes, making it hard to explain or audit their decisions; (4) Data Requirements: High-quality, labeled data is essential for effective learning, yet is often scarce or expensive to acquire; (5) Overfitting and Underfitting: Models may generalize poorly if they are either too complex (overfitting) or too simple (underfitting) relative to the task at hand [23]–[25].

These limitations have prompted researchers to explore quantum machine learning (QML) as a possible solution. Quantum computing's unique features, such as exponential state space representation and non-classical correlations, offer the potential to overcome the computational bottlenecks of classical ML. For instance, quantum-enhanced kernel methods or dimensionality reduction techniques can process large-scale, high-dimensional data more efficiently. This forms the rationale for investigating the integration of quantum computing principles into machine learning workflows.

3. FOUNDATIONS OF QUANTUM COMPUTATION

Quantum computation represents a fundamental shift from classical computing paradigms. Unlike traditional systems that operate on bits representing binary states (0 or 1), quantum computers utilize quantum bits, or qubits, which can exist in a superposition of states, allowing for the encoding and manipulation of exponentially more information. Quantum computing exploits principles from quantum mechanics, including superposition, entanglement, quantum interference, and measurement, to achieve computational speedups for specific classes of problems [26].

Superposition allows a qubit to exist simultaneously in a linear combination of the basis states $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$. A register of n qubits can represent 2^n possible states at once. This quantum parallelism forms the basis of the speedup in algorithms such as Grover's and Shor's. It means a quantum processor can, in principle, perform many computations simultaneously, contrasting with classical systems that evaluate each state serially [27].

Entanglement is a uniquely quantum mechanical phenomenon wherein the state of one qubit becomes inseparably linked to the state of another, regardless of the distance separating them. Measurement of one qubit instantaneously affects the outcome of its entangled partner. This property is crucial for quantum teleportation, quantum cryptography, and the construction of multi-qubit quantum logic gates, which are essential to scalable quantum circuits [28].

Quantum operations are realized using quantum gates, which perform unitary transformations on the state of qubits. These gates form the building blocks of quantum circuits, analogous to logic circuits in classical computing. Standard single-qubit gates include the Pauli-X, Y, and Z gates, as well as the Hadamard (H) and phase gates. Two-qubit gates such as the Controlled-NOT (CNOT) and Controlled-Z are vital for generating entanglement. A quantum circuit typically consists of a series of these gates acting on qubits, followed by measurement operations [29].

Unlike classical systems, where values are deterministic, quantum measurements yield probabilistic outcomes. Upon measurement, a qubit collapses from its superposed state into one of the basis states with a probability determined by its amplitude. This makes the design of quantum algorithms subtle: computations must be structured to ensure that the desired solution has the highest likelihood of being measured [30].

Quantum interference enables the constructive or destructive addition of probability amplitudes, guiding the quantum system toward the correct solutions. Algorithms exploit this feature to amplify the probability of desirable outcomes while suppressing others. Grover's algorithm, for example, uses interference to locate a target item in an unsorted list in \sqrt{N} steps, outperforming the classical $O(N)$ complexity. Shor's Algorithm: Efficiently factors large integers, undermining the security of RSA encryption. It runs in polynomial time compared to the best-known classical exponential-time algorithms. Grover's Algorithm: Speeds up unstructured search problems, reducing time complexity from $O(N)$ to $O(\sqrt{N})$. Quantum Phase Estimation: A core subroutine in many quantum algorithms, including Shor's, enabling eigenvalue estimation with high precision [31]–[33].

Most current quantum processors are in the Noisy Intermediate-Scale Quantum (NISQ) era, characterized by a limited number of qubits and susceptibility to noise and decoherence. While full-scale fault-tolerant quantum computers remain a long-term goal, hybrid quantum-classical systems are actively being explored for near-term applications in machine learning, optimization, and simulation.

4. QUANTUM TECHNIQUES IN AI SYSTEMS

The fusion of quantum computing with artificial intelligence has given rise to a subfield known as QML. This discipline explores how quantum computational models and algorithms can be applied to enhance machine learning processes. The potential of QML lies in leveraging quantum principles, such as superposition, entanglement, and quantum parallelism, to overcome computational limitations encountered by classical AI models, especially in high-dimensional spaces, large-scale data processing, and combinatorial optimization [34].

Quantum Support Vector Machine (QSVM) is quantum analogs of classical SVM that rely on quantum-enhanced kernel estimation. In classical SVM, kernel functions are used to transform data into higher-dimensional feature spaces where linear separation is easier. Quantum kernel methods use quantum circuits to compute inner products in Hilbert space more efficiently than their classical counterparts, potentially providing better classification boundaries. QSVM can achieve quantum advantage in scenarios where the quantum feature map captures data patterns that are hard to model classically. Several experimental implementations of QSVM have shown improved classification performance on small datasets, making them promising candidates for NISQ devices [35].

Quantum Neural Network (QNN) integrates quantum circuits with classical training methods. There are multiple architectures for QNN, including Variational Quantum Circuits (VQC), which mimic the behavior of perceptrons; Quantum Convolutional Neural Networks (QCNN) for feature extraction and pattern recognition; and hybrid models, where classical networks process raw data and quantum circuits handle complex transformations. QNN aims to exploit entanglement and interference to learn nonlinear functions more compactly. In theory, they can represent certain functions with fewer parameters and greater expressive power compared to classical deep networks, although practical scalability remains a challenge [36].

The Variational Quantum Algorithm (VQA) is among the most promising frameworks for near-term QML applications. These algorithms operate in a hybrid quantum-classical loop: A quantum circuit is parameterized (e.g., rotation angles on qubits); A cost function is evaluated via quantum measurement; A classical optimizer updates the parameters to minimize the cost; Two widely studied VQAs include: Quantum Approximate Optimization Algorithm (QAOA): suited for combinatorial optimization problems like graph coloring or scheduling; Variational Quantum Classifier (VQC): practical for classification tasks in QML. These approaches are compatible with NISQ devices due to their tolerance for limited coherence times and gate fidelity [37].

Quantum Dimensionality Reduction and Clustering. In classical ML, dimensionality reduction techniques like PCA are computationally intensive for large datasets. Quantum PCA (qPCA) utilizes density matrices to estimate eigenvectors and eigenvalues, potentially offering an exponential speedup in extracting principal components. Similarly, quantum k-means clustering and quantum graph-based clustering use distance estimation techniques via quantum amplitude encoding to group similar data points more efficiently. These quantum methods show promise in analyzing high-dimensional, sparse, or noisy datasets that challenge classical ML pipelines [38].

Hybrid Quantum-Classical Architectures. Due to the current limitations in scale and precision of quantum hardware, hybrid architectures are becoming increasingly favored. In these systems, classical preprocessing is combined with quantum subroutines for tasks such as feature transformation or cost function evaluation. Such architectures aim to maximize performance within current hardware constraints, forming a bridge toward complete quantum AI systems. Examples include classical feature extraction followed by quantum classification, and classical data compression and dimensionality reduction paired with quantum optimization. These systems benefit from the maturity of classical infrastructure while selectively leveraging quantum capabilities.

Implementation Challenges. Despite theoretical advancements, several barriers must be addressed: (a) Circuit depth: Deep quantum circuits are impractical on NISQ devices due to decoherence; (b) Noise and error correction: Qubits are fragile, and noise drastically affects performance; (c) Data encoding: Efficiently loading classical data into quantum states remains a bottleneck; (d) Optimization issues: Variational circuits may suffer from barren plateaus where gradients vanish. Ongoing research is addressing these issues through improved circuit design, enhanced quantum hardware, and advanced optimization strategies.

5. APPLICATIONS AND OPPORTUNITIES

The integration of quantum computing into machine learning workflows is not just a theoretical exploration, and it has the potential to revolutionize numerous real-world domains by enabling more efficient data analysis, optimization, and prediction. As quantum hardware and algorithms mature, quantum-enhanced AI systems are expected to have a significant impact on a broad spectrum of industries where complexity, scale, and accuracy are critical. QML can significantly accelerate drug discovery and medical diagnosis. Quantum algorithms can model molecular interactions with greater precision and explore chemical space more efficiently than classical simulations. In bioinformatics, quantum classifiers could analyze high-dimensional genomic data to identify disease markers or predict treatment outcomes. Potential applications include: Protein folding simulations, Predictive diagnostics using quantum-enhanced classifiers, and Personalized medicine based on quantum feature selection [39].

Quantum computing poses a threat to current encryption systems, such as RSA, but it also offers new tools for securing data. Quantum machine learning can be used to detect cyber threats, anomalies, and network intrusions by analyzing large datasets of traffic in real-time. Key contributions include: Quantum anomaly detection for threat prediction, secure communication protocols via quantum key distribution (QKD), and ML-based validation of post-quantum cryptographic schemes.

Finance and Risk Modeling. Financial markets are inherently complex, stochastic, and data-driven, making them ideal candidates for quantum-enhanced modeling. Quantum optimization can help in portfolio management, fraud detection, and derivative pricing. Examples include: Quantum Monte Carlo methods for option pricing, QAOA for portfolio optimization and asset allocation, and Sentiment analysis using quantum natural language processing (QNLP) [40].

Logistics and Supply Chain Optimization. Logistics networks involve dynamic, multi-variable optimization problems that are often NP-hard. Quantum techniques, especially QAOA and hybrid quantum solvers, can outperform classical heuristics in route planning, warehouse scheduling, and inventory management. Use cases: Vehicle routing optimization, Quantum-enhanced resource scheduling, Supply-demand forecasting using QNN. **Climate Modeling and Smart Grids.** QML can support environmental monitoring and predictive modeling for complex systems such as weather patterns or energy consumption. By modeling multivariate temporal data efficiently, quantum systems could improve climate resilience planning and intelligent grid control. **Applications:** High-resolution climate forecasting; Energy load balancing in smart cities; Sustainability analysis based on quantum-accelerated simulations. **Scientific Discovery and Research Automation.** The use of QML in scientific computing is expected to accelerate simulations in materials science, quantum chemistry, and physics. Automated hypothesis testing, accelerated simulation of physical systems, and novel material discovery are areas where quantum advantage is particularly evident. **Key benefits:** Faster convergence in complex simulations, discovery of new quantum materials, and data-driven experimental design [41] – [43].

QML is still in its infancy, but the opportunities it presents are vast and transformative. The next generation of intelligent systems could leverage quantum resources to solve problems once deemed computationally infeasible. These advancements depend not only on algorithmic innovation but also on practical implementation, collaboration between disciplines, and a deep understanding of both quantum physics and AI.

6. CHALLENGES AND RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

While the integration of quantum computing and machine learning promises transformative impacts, realizing the full potential of QAI is far from trivial. The field is still emerging, and numerous technical, theoretical, and practical challenges must be addressed before quantum-enhanced AI systems become mainstream. Overcoming these obstacles will require interdisciplinary collaboration, continued innovation, and strategic investments in infrastructure and education.

Hardware Limitations and Qubit Quality. Current quantum devices, particularly in the NISQ era, are limited by their small qubit counts, short coherence times, and high gate error rates. These limitations restrict the complexity and depth of quantum circuits that can be implemented. Key issues include Qubit decoherence, which leads to information loss over time; gate fidelity, impacting the accuracy of computations; and limited connectivity, making multi-qubit interactions challenging. While leading quantum platforms, such as superconducting qubits, trapped ions, and photonic systems, are making rapid progress, the field has not yet reached the fault-tolerant threshold necessary for executing large-scale quantum algorithms. **Data Encoding and Readout Bottlenecks.** One of the primary bottlenecks in QML is the efficient encoding of data, also known as quantum feature mapping. Translating classical datasets into quantum states can be resource-intensive, and the process often scales poorly with data size and dimension. Additionally, extracting meaningful results from quantum states requires quantum measurement, which is inherently probabilistic and may require repeated runs (sampling) to yield stable statistics. **Open challenges:** How to minimize the cost of state preparation. How to perform efficient and reliable readout from quantum systems. **Algorithmic Challenges and Barren Plateaus.** VQA, while promising for NISQ devices, is vulnerable to barren plateaus, regions in the parameter space where gradients vanish, hindering learning. This makes training deep quantum circuits difficult. Furthermore, the expressivity vs. trainability trade-off must be carefully managed; The choice of quantum ansatz (circuit architecture) greatly influences performance; Optimization with noisy gradient estimates remains an active area of research. **Integration with Classical Systems.** Practical QAI systems will likely be hybrid, combining classical computation with quantum components. Designing seamless, efficient, and flexible quantum-classical interfaces is crucial for achieving optimal performance. Considerations include Communication latency between quantum processors and classical control systems, strategies for data partitioning between classical and quantum workflows, and the development of quantum-aware compilers and software development kits (SDKs) (e.g., Qiskit, PennyLane, Cirq). **Benchmarking and Performance Evaluation.** Unlike classical ML, where performance metrics are well-established, QML lacks standardized benchmarks for verifying quantum advantage, Model generalizability, and Robustness to noise. This makes it difficult to assess whether a quantum model truly outperforms its classical counterpart or whether any observed gains are due to other factors, such as the simplicity of the dataset. **Ethical and Societal Considerations.** As with classical AI, quantum-enhanced systems must address questions of bias, fairness, security, and accountability. The addition of quantum components introduces new dimensions to these issues, particularly in the context of cryptography and the handling of sensitive data. Future ethical challenges may include: Ensuring equitable

access to quantum resources, Preventing quantum monopolies in AI infrastructure, and Establishing regulatory frameworks for quantum algorithms in critical sectors [44], [45].

To advance the field, the following research directions are considered high-priority: development of noise-resilient quantum circuits, exploration of novel quantum architectures (e.g., topological qubits), design of problem-specific quantum kernels and ansätze, theory of quantum generalization and learning bounds, and education and training programs to cultivate quantum-AI expertise.

7. CONCLUSION

QAI stands at the confluence of two of the most transformative technologies of our time: quantum computing and machine learning. As explored throughout this paper, QAI holds the promise of overcoming the fundamental limitations of classical algorithms by harnessing the probabilistic and parallel nature of quantum mechanics. By embedding quantum principles into AI workflows, researchers envision systems that can solve previously intractable problems with unprecedented efficiency and precision.

From quantum-enhanced classification and optimization to novel approaches for dimensionality reduction and data encoding, quantum machine learning techniques are rapidly evolving. These innovations offer immense potential for critical applications in healthcare, finance, cybersecurity, logistics, and scientific discovery. Hybrid quantum-classical frameworks, variational quantum algorithms, and quantum neural architectures are already being prototyped and tested on existing NISQ hardware, demonstrating early signs of feasibility and advantage in specific use cases.

Yet, despite these promising developments, QAI is still in its nascent stages. Significant challenges remain, including hardware limitations, algorithmic instability, integration complexity, and the lack of scalable quantum infrastructure. Furthermore, the theoretical foundations of QML, such as understanding quantum learning theory, generalization, and convergence behavior, are still under development.

Moving forward, the roadmap for realizing practical QAI systems must be guided by several key initiatives: Investing in Scalable Quantum Hardware: Developing fault-tolerant quantum processors with high qubit counts and low noise; Advancing Hybrid Algorithms: Creating efficient models that combine the strengths of quantum and classical computation; Establishing Standardized Benchmarks: Defining performance metrics for evaluating quantum learning models objectively; Building Interdisciplinary Ecosystems: Encouraging collaboration among physicists, computer scientists, engineers, and domain experts; Addressing Ethical Considerations: Ensuring responsible development and deployment of QAI systems, with attention to fairness, transparency, and security.

In conclusion, Quantum Artificial Intelligence is not merely a theoretical curiosity; it is a rapidly maturing field with the potential to redefine computational intelligence. While the path ahead involves substantial uncertainty and complexity, it also offers vast opportunities for innovation and discovery. With continued research, development, and collaboration, QAI could usher in a new era of intelligent systems that transcend the limitations of classical computation and revolutionize the way we interact with data and decision-making processes.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Zulehner, R. Wille, Simulation and design of quantum circuits, in I. Ulidowski, I. Lanese, U.P. Schultz, C. Ferreira (Eds.), *Reversible Computation: Extending Horizons of Computing: Selected Results of the COST Action IC1405*, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 60–82 (2020), http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-47361-7_3.
- [2] M. Benedetti, E. Lloyd, S. Sack, M. Fiorentini, Parameterized quantum circuits as machine learning models, *Quantum Sci. Technol.*, 4(4), (2019), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/2058-9565/ab4eb5>, arXiv:1906.07682.
- [3] J. Biamonte, P. Wittek, N. Pancotti, P. Rebentrost, N. Wiebe, and S. Lloyd. Quantum Machine Learning. *Nature*, 549(7671), 195-202 (2017).
- [4] S. Budi, M. Akrom, G.A. Trisnapradika, T. Sutojo, W.A.E. Prabowo, Optimization of Polynomial Functions on the NuSVR Algorithm Based on Machine Learning: Case Studies on Regression Datasets, *Scientific Journal of Informatics*, 10(2), (2023), <https://doi.org/10.15294/sji.v10i2.43929>.
- [5] M. Benedetti, J. Realpe-Gómez, and R. Biswas, Quantum-Assisted Learning of Hardware-Embedded Probabilistic Graphical Models. *Physical Review A*, 99(4), 042306 (2019).
- [6] S. Lloyd, M. Mohseni, and P. Rebentrost, Quantum algorithms for supervised and unsupervised machine learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:1307.0411.
- [7] M. Schuld, I. Sinayskiy, and F. Petruccione, The quest for a quantum support vector machine. *Quantum Information Processing*, 13(11), 2567-2586 (2014).

- [8] V. Havlíček, A.D. Córcoles, K. Temme, A.W. Harrow, A. Kandala, J.M. Chow, and J.M. Gambetta. Supervised learning with quantum-enhanced feature spaces. *Nature*, 567(7747), 209-212 (2019).
- [9] M. Akrom, S. Rustad, H.K. Dipojono, A machine learning approach to predict the efficiency of corrosion inhibition by natural product-based organic inhibitors, *Phys Scr*, 99(3), 036006 (2024), <https://doi.org/10.1088/1402-4896/ad28a9>.
- [10] M. Akrom, Investigation of natural extracts as green corrosion inhibitors in steel using density functional theory, *Jurnal Teori dan Aplikasi Fisika*, 10(1), 89-102 (2022), <https://doi.org/10.23960%2Fjtaf.v10i1.2927>.
- [11] Nielsen, M. A., & Chuang, I. L. (2010). "Quantum Computation and Quantum Information: 10th Anniversary Edition." Cambridge University Press.
- [12] Preskill, J. (1998). "Quantum Computing: Prologue." arXiv preprint quant-ph/9712048.
- [13] Mermin, N. D. (2007). "Quantum Computer Science: An Introduction." Cambridge University Press.
- [14] Ladd, T. D., Jelezko, F., Laflamme, R., Nakamura, Y., Monroe, C., & O'Brien, J. L. (2010). "Quantum computers." *Nature*, 464(7285), 45-53.
- [15] Aaronson, S., & Arkhipov, A. (2011). "The Computational Complexity of Linear Optics." *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC)*.
- [16] Wang, D., Guo, F., & Guo, Y. (2016). "A novel solution to multi-class classification problem using support vector machine." *Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing*, 7(4), 563-571.
- [17] Chang, H., Liu, Y., & Bai, Y. (2017). "A new multi-category support vector machine algorithm." *Soft Computing*, 21(6), 1377-1389.
- [18] M.-Z. Ai, Y. Ding, Y. Ban, J.D. Martín-Guerrero, J. Casanova, J.-M. Cui, Y.-F. Huang, X. Chen, C.-F. Li, G.-C. Guo, Experimentally realizing efficient quantum control with reinforcement learning, 2021, arXiv:2101.09020.
- [19] M. Akrom, S. Rustad, H.K. Dipojono. Development of quantum machine learning to evaluate the corrosion inhibition capability of pyrimidine compounds. *Materials Today Communications*, 39, 108758 (2024), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtcomm.2024.108758>.
- [20] M. Akrom, S. Rustad, T. Sutojo, D.R.I.M. Setiadi, H.K. Dipojono, R. Maezono, M. Solomon, Quantum machine learning for corrosion resistance in stainless steel, *Materials Today Quantum*, 3, 100013 (2024), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtquan.2024.100013>.
- [21] M. Akrom, S. Rustad, H.K. Dipojono, R. Maezono, H. Kasai, Quantum machine learning for ABO3 perovskite structure prediction, *Comput. Mater. Sci.* 250 (2025) 113694, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2025.113694>.
- [22] M. Akrom, Quantum support vector machine for classification task: a review, *J. Multiscale Mater. Inform.* 1 (2) (2024) 1–8, <https://doi.org/10.62411/jimat.v1i2.10965>.
- [23] M. Akrom, S. Rustad, H.K. Dipojono, Variational quantum circuit-based quantum machine learning approach for predicting corrosion inhibition efficiency of pyridine-quinoline compounds, *Mater. Today Quant.* 2 (2024) 100007, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtquan.2024.100007>.
- [24] M. Akrom, S. Rustad, H.K. Dipojono, Development of quantum machine learning to evaluate the corrosion inhibition capability of pyrimidine compounds, *Mater. Today Commun.* (2024) 108758, <https://doi.org/10.1016/J/J.J.MTCOMM.2024.108758>.
- [25] M. Akrom, S. Rustad, H.K. Dipojono, R. Maezono, A comprehensive approach utilizing quantum machine learning in the study of corrosion inhibition on quinoxaline compounds, *Artif. Intell. Chem.* 2 (2) (2024) 100073, <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AICHEM.2024.100073>.
- [26] M.R. Rosyid, L. Mawaddah, A.P. Santosa, M. Akrom, S. Rustad, H.K. Dipojono, Implementation of quantum machine learning in predicting corrosion inhibition efficiency of expired drugs, *Mater. Today Commun.* 40 (2024) 109830, <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MTCOMM.2024.109830>.
- [27] M. Akrom, M.R. Rosyid, L. Mawaddah, A.P. Santosa, Variational Quantum Circuit-Based Quantum Machine Learning Approach for Predicting Corrosion Inhibition Efficiency of Expired Pharmaceuticals, *Jurnal Online Informatika*, 10(1), 1-11, 2025, <https://doi.org/10.15575/join.v10i1.1333>.
- [28] M. Akrom, S. Rustad, T. Sutojo, D.R.I.M. Setiadi, P.N. Andono, G.F. Shidik, H.K. Dipojono, R. Maezono, A novel quantum-enhanced model cascading approach based on support vector machine

- in blood-brain barrier permeability prediction, *Materials Today Communications*, 40, 112341 (2025), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtcomm.2025.112341>.
- [29] M. Akrom, W. Herowati, D.R.I.M. Setiadi, A Quantum Circuit Learning-based Investigation: A Case Study in Iris Benchmark Dataset Binary Classification, *Journal of Computing Theories and Applications*, 2(3), 355-367 (2025), <https://doi.org/10.62411/jcta.11779>.
- [30] M. Akrom, S. Rustad, T. Sutojo, W.A.E. Prabowo, H.K. Dipojono, R. Maezono, H. Kasai, Stacking classical-quantum hybrid learning approach for corrosion inhibition efficiency of N-heterocyclic compounds, *Results in Surfaces and Interfaces*, 18, 100462 (2025), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsufi.2025.100462>.
- [31] H. Wang, J. Zhao, B. Wang, L. Tong, A quantum approximate optimization algorithm with metalearning for maxcut problem and its simulation via tensorflow quantum, *Math. Probl. Eng.* 2021 (2021) <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2021/6655455>.
- [32] A. Ceschini, A. Rosato, M. Panella, Design of an LSTM cell on a quantum hardware, *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. II* 69 (3) (2022) 1822–1826, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TCSII.2021.3126204>.
- [33] Y.-Y. Hong, C.J.E. Arce, T.-W. Huang, A robust hybrid classical and quantum model for short-term wind speed forecasting, *IEEE Access* 11 (2023) 90811–90824, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3308053>.
- [34] S.Y.-C. Chen, Asynchronous training of quantum reinforcement learning, *Procedia Comput. Sci.* 222 (2023) 321–330, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2023.08.171>, International Neural Network Society Workshop on Deep Learning Innovations and Applications (INNS DLIA 2023).
- [35] J. Preskill, Quantum Computing in the NISQ era and beyond, *Quantum* 2 (2018) 79, <http://dx.doi.org/10.22331/q-2018-08-06-79>.
- [36] M. Akrom, S. Rustad, H.K. Dipojono. Variational quantum circuit-based quantum machine learning approach for predicting corrosion inhibition efficiency of pyridine-quinoline compounds. *Materials Today Quantum*, 2, 100007 (2024), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtquan.2024.100007>.
- [37] Y. Du, Y. Qian, X. Wu, D. Tao, A distributed learning scheme for variational quantum algorithms, *IEEE Trans. Quantum Eng.* 3 (2022) 1–16, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TQE.2022.3175267>.
- [38] R. Sharma, B. Kaushik, N.K. Gondhi, M. Tahir, M.K.I. Rahmani, Quantum particle swarm optimization based convolutional neural network for handwritten script recognition, *Comput. Mater. Contin.* 71 (3) (2022) 5855–5873, <http://dx.doi.org/10.32604/cmc.2022.024232>.
- [39] M. Akrom, T. Sutojo, A. Pertiwi, S. Rustad, H.K. Dipojono, Investigation of Best QSPR-Based Machine Learning Model to Predict Corrosion Inhibition Performance of Pyridine-Quinoline Compounds, *J Phys Conf Ser*, 2673(1), 012014 (2023), <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/2673/1/012014>.
- [40] M.L. Wall, M.R. Abernathy, G. Quiroz, Generative machine learning with tensor networks: Benchmarks on near-term quantum computers, *Phys. Rev. Res.* 3 (2) (2021) <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/physrevresearch.3.023010>.
- [41] M. Akrom, S. Rustad, T. Sutojo, D.R.I.M. Setiadi, H.K. Dipojono, R. Maezono, M. Solomon, Quantum machine learning for corrosion resistance in stainless steel, *Materials Today Quantum*, 3, 100013 (2024), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtquan.2024.100013>.
- [42] M. Akrom, S. Rustad, H.K. Dipojono, R. Maezono, H. Kasai, Quantum machine learning for ABO₃ perovskite structure prediction, *Comput. Mater. Sci.* 250 (2025) 113694, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.commatsci.2025.113694>.
- [43] M. Akrom, Quantum support vector machine for classification task: a review, *J. Multiscale Mater. Inform.* 1 (2) (2024) 1–8, <https://doi.org/10.62411/jimat.v1i2.10965>.
- [44] M. Akrom, S. Rustad, H.K. Dipojono, Variational quantum circuit-based quantum machine learning approach for predicting corrosion inhibition efficiency of pyridine-quinoline compounds, *Mater. Today Quant.* 2 (2024) 100007, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtquan.2024.100007>.
- [45] M. Akrom, S. Rustad, H.K. Dipojono, Development of quantum machine learning to evaluate the corrosion inhibition capability of pyrimidine compounds, *Mater. Today Commun.* (2024) 108758, <https://doi.org/10.1016/J/J.J.MTCOMM.2024.108758>.