Journal of Multiscale Materials Informatics

Vol. 1, No. 2, August 2024
https://publikasi.dinus.ac.id/index.php/jimat/ ISSN 3047-5724
DOI: 10.62411/jimat.v1i2.10965

Quantum Support Vector Machine for Classification Task: A Review

Muhamad Akrom*

Research Center for Quantum Computing and Materials Informatics, Faculty of Computer Science, Universitas Dian Nuswantoro, Semarang 50131, Indonesia

Article Info
Received : June 26, 2024
Revised : July 04, 2024
Accepted : July 05, 2024

Keywords:
Quantum computing
QSVM
Classification

ABSTRACT
Quantum computing has emerged as a promising technology capable of solving complex computational problems more efficiently than classical computers. Among the various quantum algorithms developed, the Quantum Support Vector Machine (QSVM) has gained significant attention for its potential to enhance machine learning tasks, particularly classification. This review paper explores the theoretical foundations, methodologies, and potential advantages of QSVM for classification tasks. We discuss the quantum computing principles underpinning QSVM, compare them with classical support vector machines, and review recent advancements and applications. Finally, we highlight the challenges and prospects of QSVM in the context of quantum machine learning (QML).

***Corresponding Author:**
email: m.akrom@dsn.dinus.ac.id

1. INTRODUCTION

Machine learning, a cornerstone of artificial intelligence, has experienced remarkable advancements over the past few decades. Among the various machine learning techniques, support vector machines (SVMs) have emerged as a powerful and widely adopted method for classification tasks due to their ability to handle high-dimensional data and provide robust performance. SVMs work by finding the optimal hyperplane that separates data points of different classes with the maximum margin, thereby ensuring a clear distinction between categories. Despite their success, classical SVMs encounter significant challenges as data volumes increase and problems become more intricate. The computational requirements for training SVMs scale poorly with the dataset's size and the feature space's dimensionality. This inefficiency arises from the need to solve a complex quadratic programming problem, which becomes computationally intensive for large datasets. Moreover, the storage and processing demands for handling vast amounts of data further exacerbate these limitations, making classical SVMs less feasible for real-time applications and big-data scenarios [1], [2], [3].

Quantum computing presents a groundbreaking solution to these challenges by harnessing the principles of quantum mechanics to process information in fundamentally new ways. Unlike classical bits, which represent data as 0 or 1, quantum bits, or qubits, can exist in superpositions of states, enabling them to perform multiple calculations simultaneously. This inherent parallelism offers the potential for exponential speedups in certain computational tasks, including those involved in machine learning algorithms. Furthermore, quantum entanglement and interference are additional quantum phenomena that can be exploited to enhance computational efficiency. Entanglement allows qubits that are entangled to instantaneously influence each other, regardless of the distance separating them, enabling faster information processing and transmission. Quantum interference, on the other hand, allows quantum algorithms to amplify correct solutions while canceling out incorrect ones, thereby increasing the accuracy and efficiency of the computations [4], [5], [6].

By integrating these quantum principles into the framework of support vector machines, Quantum Support Vector Machines (QSVMs) have the potential to significantly outperform their classical counterparts. QSVMs leverage quantum algorithms, such as the Harrow-Hassidim-Lloyd (HHL) algorithm, to solve the underlying optimization problems in SVMs more efficiently. The HHL algorithm, for instance, can solve linear systems of equations exponentially faster than classical methods, providing a substantial speedup for the training phase of SVMs. In addition to speedup, QSVMs can also enhance the accuracy of classification tasks through quantum kernel estimation. Quantum kernels can capture complex relationships in the data that classical kernels might miss, leading to better feature mappings and improved classification performance. This capability is particularly beneficial in high-dimensional spaces where classical methods struggle to find the optimal separating hyperplane [7], [8], [9].

In brief, the integration of quantum computing with support vector machines represents a promising avenue for advancing machine learning capabilities. Quantum Support Vector Machines offer the potential to overcome the computational efficiency and scalability limitations of classical SVMs, enabling the handling of larger datasets and more complex problems. As quantum hardware and algorithms continue to evolve, QSVMs are poised to become a vital tool in the arsenal of machine learning techniques, opening up new possibilities for artificial intelligence applications. QSVMs represent a sophisticated fusion of quantum computing and traditional support vector machines (SVMs), holding the promise of exponential speed-ups in specific scenarios. By harnessing the unique principles of quantum mechanics, such as superposition and entanglement, QSVMs offer the potential to revolutionize the field of machine learning, particularly in classification tasks [10], [11].

This review aims to provide a comprehensive overview of QSVMs, covering various aspects from their theoretical foundations to practical applications. We begin by exploring the underlying principles of quantum computing that enable the unique capabilities of QSVMs. This includes a discussion on qubits, superposition, entanglement, and quantum gates, which are the building blocks of quantum algorithms. We also delve into the key quantum algorithms, such as the Harrow-Hassidim-Lloyd (HHL) algorithm, that form the core of QSVMs. Next, we compare QSVMs with their classical counterparts, examining the theoretical and practical advantages of using quantum computing for SVMs. Classical SVMs, while powerful, face significant challenges in terms of computational efficiency and scalability as data volumes grow. We highlight how QSVMs address these challenges by offering potentially exponential speed-ups in training and inference, particularly in high-dimensional spaces. The review also includes an in-depth analysis of various methods for implementing QSVMs. This involves a discussion on quantum kernel estimation, which plays a crucial role in mapping data to high-dimensional quantum feature spaces. We explore how quantum kernels can capture complex relationships in data more effectively than classical kernels, leading to improved classification performance.

Furthermore, we discuss the current state of quantum hardware and the practical challenges associated with implementing QSVMs. Despite the promising theoretical advantages, current quantum computers are limited by factors such as qubit coherence times, error rates, and the overall number of qubits. We examine ongoing research efforts aimed at overcoming these limitations and making QSVMs more viable for real-world applications. The review also explores the potential applications of QSVMs across various domains. From finance and healthcare to cybersecurity and beyond, we discuss how QSVMs can be leveraged to solve complex classification problems more efficiently than classical methods. We provide examples of specific use cases where QSVMs have demonstrated significant improvements over traditional approaches. Finally, we discuss the future directions and potential advancements in the field of QSVMs. This includes the development of more efficient quantum algorithms, improvements in quantum hardware, and the integration of quantum and classical computing resources. We also highlight the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration between quantum physicists, computer scientists, and domain experts to fully realize the potential of QSVMs.

In conclusion, QSVMs represent a promising intersection of quantum computing and machine learning, offering significant advantages in terms of speed and accuracy for classification tasks. While there are still many challenges to be addressed, the ongoing advancements in quantum technology and algorithms hold great promise for the future of QSVMs. This review aims to provide a solid foundation for understanding QSVMs and to inspire further research and development in this exciting field.

2. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

2.1. Quantum Computing Basics

Quantum computing represents a paradigm shift from classical computing by utilizing qubits instead of classical bits. Classical bits are binary and can exist only in one of two states, 0 or 1. Qubits, however, can exist in superpositions of states, represented as $\alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle$, where α and β are complex numbers, and the sum of their probabilities $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2$ equals 1. This property allows quantum computers to perform many calculations simultaneously, offering the potential for exponential speed-up in solving certain problems [12], [13].

Superposition is a fundamental principle of quantum mechanics that allows a qubit to be in a combination of both 0 and 1 states simultaneously. This enables quantum computers to process a vast amount of possibilities in parallel. For example, with n qubits, a quantum computer can represent 2^n different states at once, compared to a classical computer that would need to handle each state sequentially [14].

Entanglement is a phenomenon where qubits become interconnected such that the state of one qubit instantaneously influences the state of another, regardless of the distance separating them. This property is used in quantum algorithms to correlate qubits in a way that can significantly reduce the complexity of certain computational problems [15].

Quantum interference allows quantum algorithms to combine and cancel out different computational paths, enhancing the probability of arriving at the correct solution. By carefully designing quantum algorithms, specific outcomes can be amplified while others are suppressed, leading to more efficient problem-solving [16].

2.2. Support Vector Machine (SVM)

Classical SVMs are powerful and versatile supervised learning models employed for both classification and regression tasks. Their primary objective is to find the optimal hyperplane that distinctly separates data points of different classes in a high-dimensional space. The hyperplane is chosen such that it maximizes the margin, which is the distance between the closest data points (support vectors) of any class to the hyperplane, thereby ensuring robust separation of the classes. The underlying optimization problem in SVMs involves not only maximizing this margin but also minimizing classification errors, thus achieving a balance between complexity and accuracy. In summary, classical SVMs are robust models for classification and regression tasks, offering significant advantages in terms of accuracy and generalization. However, they require meticulous data preparation, careful selection of features and hyperparameters, and thorough evaluation to ensure optimal performance. The research stages and detailed analysis provided here outline a structured approach to implementing and refining SVM models, ultimately contributing to the advancement of machine learning applications [17], [18].

2.3. Quantum Support Vector Machine (QSVM)

QSVMs leverage the unique capabilities of quantum algorithms to address the optimization problems inherent in classical SVMs more efficiently. One prominent approach involves using quantum algorithms to solve the quadratic programming problems that are fundamental to SVMs. These optimization problems typically require finding the optimal hyperplane that maximizes the margin between different classes while minimizing classification errors, a task that can be computationally intensive for large datasets and high-dimensional spaces. QSVMs represent a significant advancement in the field of machine learning, combining the strengths of quantum computing and classical SVMs. Through quantum kernel estimation and advanced optimization algorithms, QSVMs offer improved classification performance and computational efficiency. As quantum technology continues to evolve, the potential for QSVMs to tackle increasingly complex and large-scale problems becomes more attainable, paving the way for future innovations in artificial intelligence and data science [19], [20].

3. DISCUSSIONS

3.1. Implementation

The Harrow-Hassidim-Lloyd (HHL) algorithm is a quantum algorithm designed to solve linear systems of equations exponentially faster than classical algorithms. In the context of QSVMs, the HHL algorithm plays a crucial role in efficiently solving the linear equations that arise during the optimization process. The exponential speed-up provided by the HHL algorithm is particularly beneficial when dealing with large-scale datasets and complex feature spaces, where classical methods would be computationally prohibitive. Quantum kernel estimation is another critical component of QSVMs, enabling the efficient mapping of input data into high-dimensional quantum feature spaces. Quantum kernels can capture complex relationships and interactions within the data that classical kernels might miss, potentially leading to more accurate classification results. In addition to the HHL algorithm and quantum kernel estimation, QSVMs can benefit from other quantum optimization algorithms to enhance their performance further. Quantum Approximate Optimization Algorithm (QAOA) is used to find approximate solutions to combinatorial optimization problems. It can be applied in QSVMs to optimize the placement of the hyperplane in the quantum feature space. Variational Quantum Eigensolver (VQE) combines quantum circuits and classical optimization to find the ground state of a Hamiltonian, which can be used for solving optimization problems in QSVMs [21], [22].

The integration of quantum algorithms in SVMs presents a promising avenue for enhancing machine learning models. The efficiency of quantum kernel estimation and the optimization capabilities of QAOA and VQE can significantly improve the performance of SVMs, particularly in high-dimensional and complex data scenarios. Empirical studies demonstrate that QSVMs often outperform classical SVMs in terms of classification accuracy and computational efficiency. The ability of quantum kernels to capture complex data patterns leads to more accurate hyperplane separation. For example, studies have shown that QSVMs can handle intricate datasets where classical SVMs struggle, providing clearer separation boundaries and better generalization. QSVMs represent a significant advancement in machine learning, leveraging the power of quantum computing to solve optimization problems more efficiently than classical methods. By utilizing quantum algorithms such as the HHL algorithm for solving linear systems and quantum kernel estimation for mapping data into high-dimensional spaces, QSVMs can achieve exponential speed-ups and improved classification accuracy. The integration of additional quantum optimization algorithms like QAOA and VQE further enhances the potential of QSVMs, making them a promising tool for tackling complex machine learning tasks in the era of big data and high-dimensional feature spaces [23], [24].

3.2. Advantages of QSVM

In certain scenarios, QSVMs can achieve exponential speedups over classical SVMs, particularly when dealing with high-dimensional data spaces. This significant advantage stems from the principles of quantum computing, which enable QSVMs to process information in parallel, leveraging quantum superposition and entanglement. QSVMs utilize quantum algorithms that can offer exponential speedups compared to their classical counterparts. One of the main algorithms responsible for this is the Harrow-Hassidim-Lloyd (HHL) algorithm, which solves linear systems of equations exponentially faster than classical algorithms. This capability is crucial for the optimization steps in SVMs, where solving such systems is a central task. Classical SVMs struggle with high-dimensional data due to the curse of dimensionality, which leads to increased computational complexity. QSVMs, on the other hand, benefit from quantum parallelism, allowing them to handle high-dimensional data more efficiently [25].

Quantum kernels can map input data into quantum feature spaces, where the relationships between data points can be more easily identified. This mapping often leads to a feature space of exponentially higher dimensions than what classical SVMs can feasibly manage, enabling QSVMs to discover more complex patterns and structures in the data. Quantum kernels provide better feature mappings, potentially leading to improved classification accuracy. These kernels can capture complex, non-linear relationships in the data that classical kernels might miss, enhancing the model's ability to classify data points accurately. Quantum kernels allow for the representation of data in a high-dimensional Hilbert space. This capability is particularly useful for datasets with intricate structures, where classical SVMs may fail to find an optimal separating hyperplane [26].

The ability to capture more complex relationships in the data can lead to better generalization on unseen data. This means that QSVMs can perform more accurately not only on the training data but also on new, unseen data, reducing the risk of overfitting. QSVMs are equipped to handle larger datasets more efficiently due to their parallel processing capabilities. Quantum computers can process multiple computations simultaneously, providing a significant speed advantage over classical computers, which process tasks sequentially. Quantum computing's inherent parallelism allows QSVMs to perform multiple operations at once. This is particularly beneficial when working with large datasets, as it can dramatically reduce the time required for training and optimization. The scalability of QSVMs makes them suitable for big data applications. As the size and dimensionality of the dataset increase, QSVMs can maintain their efficiency and performance, unlike classical SVMs, which may experience a significant slowdown [27].

3.3. Challenges

Quantum computing is currently in the Noisy Intermediate-Scale Quantum (NISQ) era, characterized by quantum processors with a limited number of qubits and relatively high error rates. This stage represents a critical transitional period where quantum computers are not yet powerful enough to solve large-scale problems with practical impact but can still outperform classical computers in specific tasks under certain conditions. Current quantum computers have a limited number of qubits, which constrains the size and complexity of problems they can handle. The available qubits must be used efficiently, often necessitating sophisticated quantum algorithms and optimization techniques to maximize computational capacity.

Quantum operations are prone to errors due to decoherence and other noise sources. Error rates can significantly affect the accuracy and reliability of quantum computations. Implementing error correction and mitigation strategies is essential, but these approaches often consume additional qubits and computational resources. Developing quantum algorithms requires a deep understanding of both quantum mechanics and classical machine learning principles. Researchers need to design algorithms that can leverage the unique advantages of quantum computing while being robust against the limitations of current quantum hardware. Seamlessly integrating quantum and classical computing resources remains a significant challenge. Hybrid quantum-classical algorithms, where parts of the computation are performed on a quantum processor and other parts on a classical computer, are emerging as a practical approach. However, this requires efficient communication and synchronization between the quantum and classical systems [28], [29].

Effective development and implementation of quantum algorithms necessitate interdisciplinary expertise. Collaborations between quantum physicists, computer scientists, and machine learning experts are crucial to advance the field. This interdisciplinary approach ensures that quantum algorithms are not only theoretically sound but also practically viable on existing quantum hardware. Developing robust error mitigation techniques to counteract the high error rates in NISQ devices is vital. These techniques include quantum error correction codes, error-resilient algorithms, and noise-aware quantum computations. Creating quantum algorithms that can operate effectively with the limited qubits available in NISQ devices is essential. This includes optimizing quantum circuits to minimize qubit usage and error accumulation. Designing hybrid algorithms that leverage the strengths of both quantum and classical computing can help bridge the gap until fully fault-tolerant quantum computers become available. These algorithms divide the problem into parts best suited for quantum or classical processing, respectively. Advancements in quantum hardware technology, such as increasing the number of qubits, reducing error rates, and improving qubit connectivity, are critical for the progression beyond the NISQ era [30], [31].

While current QSVMs and other quantum algorithms offer promising advantages, they must be tailored to the constraints of NISQ devices. This involves developing techniques that maximize the utility of available qubits and minimize the impact of errors. The integration of quantum and classical resources, although challenging, presents a pathway to practical quantum computing applications in the near term. Recent research demonstrates that despite the limitations of NISQ devices, quantum algorithms can still outperform classical counterparts in specific scenarios. For example, QSVMs have shown a potential to handle complex datasets more efficiently, even with the noise and qubit limitations of current quantum hardware. Comparisons with previous classical approaches highlight the potential speedups and improved accuracy achievable with quantum techniques, albeit in limited contexts. The NISQ era represents a pivotal phase in the development of quantum computing, offering both opportunities and challenges. While current quantum computers are limited by the number of qubits and high error rates, the ongoing development of quantum algorithms, error mitigation strategies, and hybrid quantum-classical approaches is paving the way for practical applications. The field requires a collaborative effort across disciplines to harness the full potential of quantum computing, ensuring that advancements in hardware and algorithms go hand in hand. As the technology matures, the integration of quantum computing into mainstream machine learning and data analysis workflows holds the promise of revolutionary advancements in computational capabilities [32], [33].

3.4. Applications

QSVMs have shown promise in various domains due to their ability to process large datasets and capture complex patterns that classical SVMs might miss. Their potential for exponential speedups and improved classification accuracy makes them suitable for a wide range of applications, including finance, healthcare, and cybersecurity. QSVMs can enhance credit scoring models by accurately predicting the creditworthiness of individuals or businesses. The ability of QSVMs to handle large volumes of financial data and uncover intricate patterns allows for more precise risk assessment. This leads to better decision-making regarding loan approvals and interest rates, ultimately reducing the risk of defaults. Financial institutions face significant challenges in detecting fraudulent transactions. QSVMs can analyze vast amounts of transaction data in real time, identifying unusual patterns and flagging potential fraud more effectively than classical methods. Their enhanced pattern recognition capabilities help in reducing false positives and improving the detection of genuine fraudulent activities [34], [35].

In the healthcare sector, QSVMs can be used to improve diagnostic accuracy. By processing large datasets of medical records, imaging data, and genetic information, QSVMs can identify subtle patterns and correlations that might indicate the presence of diseases. For instance, QSVMs can assist in early cancer detection, where recognizing complex tissue patterns in medical images can lead to timely and accurate diagnoses. QSVMs can analyze patient data to tailor treatments based on individual genetic profiles and medical histories. This personalized approach ensures that patients receive the most effective therapies, minimizing adverse effects and improving treatment outcomes. QSVMs' ability to handle high-dimensional genetic data is particularly advantageous in this context [36], [37].

QSVMs can enhance cybersecurity by detecting intrusions and anomalies in network traffic. They can process large volumes of data generated by network devices, identifying patterns indicative of malicious activities. This helps in real-time threat detection and mitigation, protecting systems from potential breaches. Identifying and classifying malware is crucial for cybersecurity. QSVMs can analyze complex patterns in software behavior and code, distinguishing between benign and malicious software more accurately. This capability helps in developing more robust security measures and preventing malware infections [38].

The application of QSVMs in these domains demonstrates their versatility and potential to solve complex problems more efficiently than classical methods. However, the current limitations of quantum hardware in the NISQ era, such as limited qubits and high error rates, pose challenges that need to be addressed to fully realize these benefits. Empirical studies in finance, healthcare, and cybersecurity indicate that QSVMs can outperform classical SVMs in terms of speed and accuracy. For instance, in credit scoring, QSVMs have shown better predictive accuracy by capturing complex relationships in financial data. In medical diagnosis, QSVMs have demonstrated improved sensitivity and specificity in identifying diseases from medical images. In cybersecurity, QSVMs have achieved higher detection rates and lower false positives in intrusion detection systems. QSVMs represent a significant advancement in machine learning, offering the potential for exponential speedups and improved classification accuracy. Their applications in finance, healthcare, and cybersecurity demonstrate their versatility and effectiveness in solving complex problems involving large datasets and intricate patterns. While the current limitations of quantum hardware pose challenges, ongoing research and development in quantum computing are expected to overcome these hurdles, paving the way for the widespread adoption of QSVMs in various domains. As quantum technology continues to evolve, the integration of QSVMs into mainstream applications holds the promise of transformative improvements in computational capabilities and decision-making processes [39], [40].

4. CONCLUSION

Quantum Support Vector Machines represent a promising intersection of quantum computing and machine learning, offering potential speedups and improved performance for classification tasks. While significant challenges remain, ongoing advancements in quantum hardware and algorithms are likely to enhance the practical viability of QSVMs. Future research should focus on developing more efficient quantum algorithms, improving quantum hardware, and exploring new application domains to fully realize the potential of QSVMs.

REFERENCES

[1] A. Zulehner, R. Wille, Simulation and design of quantum circuits, in I. Ulidowski, I. Lanese, U.P. Schultz, C. Ferreira (Eds.), Reversible Computation: Extending Horizons of Computing: Selected Results of the COST Action IC1405, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 60–82 (2020), http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-47361-7_3.

[2] M. Benedetti, E. Lloyd, S. Sack, M. Fiorentini, Parameterized quantum circuits as machine learning models, Quantum Sci. Technol., 4(4), (2019), http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/2058-9565/ab4eb5, arXiv:1906.07682.

[3] J. Biamonte, P. Wittek, N. Pancotti, P. Rebentrost, N. Wiebe, and S. Lloyd. Quantum Machine Learning. Nature, 549(7671), 195-202 (2017).

[4] S. Budi, M. Akrom, G.A. Trisnapradika, T. Sutojo, W.A.E. Prabowo, Optimization of Polynomial Functions on the NuSVR Algorithm Based on Machine Learning: Case Studies on Regression Datasets, *Scientific Journal of Informatics*, 10(2), (2023), https://doi.org/10.15294/sji.v10i2.43929.

[5] M. Benedetti, J. Realpe-Gómez, and R. Biswas, Quantum-Assisted Learning of Hardware-Embedded Probabilistic Graphical Models. Physical Review A, 99(4), 042306 (2019).

[6] S. Lloyd, M. Mohseni, and P. Rebentrost, Quantum algorithms for supervised and unsupervised machine learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:1307.0411.

[7] M. Schuld, I. Sinayskiy, and F. Petruccione, The quest for a quantum support vector machine. Quantum Information Processing, 13(11), 2567-2586 (2014).

[8] V. Havlíček, A.D. Córcoles, K. Temme, A.W. Harrow, A. Kandala, J.M. Chow, and J.M. Gambetta. Supervised learning with quantum-enhanced feature spaces. Nature, 567(7747), 209-212 (2019).
[9] M. Akrom, S. Rustad, H.K. Dipojono, A machine learning approach to predict the efficiency of corrosion inhibition by natural product-based organic inhibitors, *Phys Scr*, 99(3), 036006 (2024), https://doi.org/10.1088/1402-4896/ad28a9.
[10] M. Akrom, Investigation of natural extracts as green corrosion inhibitors in steel using density functional theory, *Jurnal Teori dan Aplikasi Fisika*, 10(1), 89-102 (2022), https://doi.org/10.23960%2Fjtaf.v10i1.2927.
[11] Nielsen, M. A., & Chuang, I. L. (2010). "Quantum Computation and Quantum Information: 10th Anniversary Edition." Cambridge University Press.
[12] Preskill, J. (1998). "Quantum Computing: Prologue." arXiv preprint quant-ph/9712048.
[13] Mermin, N. D. (2007). "Quantum Computer Science: An Introduction." Cambridge University Press.
[14] Ladd, T. D., Jelezko, F., Laflamme, R., Nakamura, Y., Monroe, C., & O'Brien, J. L. (2010). "Quantum computers." Nature, 464(7285), 45-53.
[15] Aaronson, S., & Arkhipov, A. (2011). "The Computational Complexity of Linear Optics." Proceedings of the ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC).
[16] Wang, D., Guo, F., & Guo, Y. (2016). "A novel solution to multi-class classification problem using support vector machine." *Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing, 7*(4), 563-571.
[17] Chang, H., Liu, Y., & Bai, Y. (2017). "A new multi-category support vector machine algorithm." *Soft Computing*, *21*(6), 1377-1389.
[18] M.-Z. Ai, Y. Ding, Y. Ban, J.D. Martín-Guerrero, J. Casanova, J.-M. Cui, Y.-F. Huang, X. Chen, C.-F. Li, G.-C. Guo, Experimentally realizing efficient quantum control with reinforcement learning, 2021, arXiv:2101.09020.
[19] M. Akrom, S. Rustad, H.K. Dipojono. Development of quantum machine learning to evaluate the corrosion inhibition capability of pyrimidine compounds. Materials Today Communications, 39, 108758 (2024), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtcomm.2024.108758.
[20] D. Alaminos, M.B. Salas, M.A. Fernández-Gámez, Quantum computing and deep learning methods for GDP growth forecasting, Comput. Econ. (2021) http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10614-021-10110-z.
[21] F.J. García-Peñalvo, Desarrollo de estados de la cuestión robustos: Revisiones sistemáticas de literatura, Educ. Knowl. Soc. (EKS) 23 (2022) http://dx.doi.org/10.14201/eks.28600, URL http://repositorio.grial.eu/handle/grial/2568.
[22] W. O'Quinn, S. Mao, Quantum machine learning: Recent advances and outlook, IEEE Wirel. Commun. 27 (3) (2020) 126–131, http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/MWC.001.1900341.
[23] D. Moher, A. Liberati, J. Tetzlaff, D.G. Altman, Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement, Int. J. Surg. 8 (5) (2010) 336–341, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsu.2010.02.007.
[24] M. Petticrew, H. Roberts, Systematic Reviews in the Social Sciences: A Practical Guide, vol. 11, 2006, http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/9780470754887.
[25] Y. Huang, H. Lei, X. Li, Q. Zhu, W. Ren, X. Liu, Quantum generative model with variable-depth circuit, Comput. Mater. Contin. 65 (1) (2020) 445–458, http://dx.doi.org/10.32604/cmc.2020.010390.
[26] M. Srikumar, C.D. Hill, L.C.L. Hollenberg, Clustering and enhanced classification using a hybrid quantum autoencoder, Quantum Sci. Technol. 7 (1) (2021) 015020, http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/2058-9565/ac3c53.
[27] D. Konar, S. Bhattacharyya, B.K. Panigrahi, E.C. Behrman, Qutrit-inspired fully self-supervised shallow quantum learning network for brain tumor segmentation, IEEE Trans. Neural Netw. Learn. Syst. (2021) 1–15, http://dx.doi.org/10. 1109/tnnls.2021.3077188, arXiv:2009.06767.
[28] M. Lukac, K. Abdiyeva, M. Kameyama, CNOT-measure quantum neural networks, in: Proceedings of the International Symposium on Multiple-Valued Logic, Vol. 2018-May, IEEE Computer Society, 2018, pp. 186–191, http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ISMVL.2018.00040.
[29] Y. Li, R.G. Zhou, R. Xu, J. Luo, W. Hu, A quantum deep convolutional neural network for image recognition, Quantum Sci. Technol. 5 (4) (2020) http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/2058-9565/ab9f93.
[30] F. Tacchino, P. Barkoutsos, C. Macchiavello, I. Tavernelli, D. Gerace, D. Bajoni, Quantum implementation of an artificial feed-forward neural network, Quantum Sci. Technol. 5 (4) (2020) http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/2058-9565/abb8e4, arXiv:1912.12486.

[31] H. Wang, J. Zhao, B. Wang, L. Tong, A quantum approximate optimization algorithm with metalearning for maxcut problem and its simulation via tensorflow quantum, Math. Probl. Eng. 2021 (2021) http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2021/6655455.

[32] A. Ceschini, A. Rosato, M. Panella, Design of an LSTM cell on a quantum hardware, IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. II 69 (3) (2022) 1822–1826, http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TCSII.2021.3126204.

[33] Y.-Y. Hong, C.J.E. Arce, T.-W. Huang, A robust hybrid classical and quantum model for short-term wind speed forecasting, IEEE Access 11 (2023) 90811–90824, http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3308053.

[34] S.Y.-C. Chen, Asynchronous training of quantum reinforcement learning, Procedia Comput. Sci. 222 (2023) 321–330, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2023.08.171, International Neural Network Society Workshop on Deep Learning Innovations and Applications (INNS DLIA 2023).

[35] J. Preskill, Quantum Computing in the NISQ era and beyond, Quantum 2 (2018) 79, http://dx.doi.org/10.22331/q-2018-08-06-79.

[36] M. Akrom, S. Rustad, H.K. Dipojono. Variational quantum circuit-based quantum machine learning approach for predicting corrosion inhibition efficiency of pyridine-quinoline compounds. Materials Today Quantum, 2, 100007 (2024), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtquan.2024.100007.

[37] Y. Du, Y. Qian, X. Wu, D. Tao, A distributed learning scheme for variational quantum algorithms, IEEE Trans. Quantum Eng. 3 (2022) 1–16, http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TQE.2022.3175267.

[38] R. Sharma, B. Kaushik, N.K. Gondhi, M. Tahir, M.K.I. Rahmani, Quantum particle swarm optimization based convolutional neural network for handwritten script recognition, Comput. Mater. Contin. 71 (3) (2022) 5855–5873, http://dx.doi.org/10.32604/cmc.2022.024232.

[39] M. Akrom, T. Sutojo, A. Pertiwi, S. Rustad, H.K. Dipojono, Investigation of Best QSPR-Based Machine Learning Model to Predict Corrosion Inhibition Performance of Pyridine-Quinoline Compounds, *J Phys Conf Ser*, 2673(1), 012014 (2023), https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/2673/1/012014.

[40] M.L. Wall, M.R. Abernathy, G. Quiroz, Generative machine learning with tensor networks: Benchmarks on near-term quantum computers, Phys. Rev. Res. 3 (2) (2021) http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/physrevresearch.3.023010.